## Differentiation = linear approximation

X, Y normed vector spaces,  $f : X \to Y$ , e.g.  $X = \mathbb{R}^n, Y = \mathbb{R}^m$ . p denotes some (fixed) point in X (I use p instead of  $x_0$  now).

**Definition.** f is called differentiable in  $p \in X$  if there exists  $A : X \to Y$  linear and continuous such that  $R : X \to Y$  defined implicitly by

$$f(x) = f(p) + A(x-p) + R(x)$$
, satisfies  $\lim_{x \to p} \frac{|R(x)|}{|x-p|} = 0$ 

Here the vertical bars || denote the norm or length of the quantitity in between.

Simplest case.  $X = \mathbb{R}, Y = \mathbb{R}$ . In this case A(x - p) = f'(p)(x - p) and it seems we are nitpicking. Why not use the (equivalent) definition

$$\frac{df}{dx}(p) = f'(p) = \lim_{x \to p} \frac{f(x) - f(p)}{x - p}$$
 if the limit exists,

and call f differentiable in  $p \in \mathbb{R}$  if this happens to be the case?

Answer. Because this only works for  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and does not take us very far.

Second simplest case.  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $Y = \mathbb{R}^m$ . In this (Calculus 2) case A may be seen as a matrix. The first row of the matrix has the partial derivatives of the first component of f as entries, the second row the partial derivatives of the second component of f, etc, so

$$A_{ij} = \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(p),$$

the matrix of all partial derivatives (Jacobian matrix) in p. In other words, the first row is the gradient of  $f_1$ , the second of  $f_2$ , etc. We write  $\partial$  instead of d because some smart person decided to do so. Warning. The existence of all these partial derivatives means nothing without:

Main theorem for second simplest case. If all the partial derivatives are continuous in p (what does this, implicitly, mean?) then f is differentiable in  $p \in X$  and A is given by the Jacobian matrix as above.

**Special second simplest case.**  $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $Y = \mathbb{R}^2$ . Here we usually write (x, y) instead of  $(x_1, x_2)$ , (p, q) instead of  $(p_1, p_2)$ , and (u, v) instead of  $(f_1, f_2)$ , to allow for reinterpretation of  $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  below. In this case

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(p,q) & \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(p,q) \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(p,q) & \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(p,q) \end{pmatrix}$$

## Also of interest

In between simplest and second simplest case.  $X = \mathbb{R}^n, Y = \mathbb{R}$ . Now, provided f is differentiable in p, A = 0 corresponds to necessary (not sufficient) conditions for f to have an extremum in p.

A physical example, classical mechanics. f is difference between kinetic and potential energy, A = 0 is equivalent to the equations of motion.

## Complex differentiation

**Complex functions**  $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ , *s* denotes some (fixed) point in  $\mathbb{C}$  (I use *s* instead of  $z_0$  now).

**Definition.** f is called differentiable in  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  if there exists  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $R : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  defined implicitly by

$$f(z) = f(s) + \alpha(z - s) + R(z), \text{ satisfies } \lim_{z \to s} \frac{|R(z)|}{|z - s|} = 0$$

Now the vertical bars || denote the absolute value, which is the length of the corresponding vector in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We have, as for  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ , that

$$f'(s) = \lim_{z \to s} \frac{f(z) - f(s)}{z - s} = \alpha,$$

which works fine for polynomials like  $f(z) = z^3 - z + 1$ , giving what we (should) expect, but what if we only know u and v, for instance  $f(z) = \exp(z)$ ?

Writing  $\alpha = a + ib$ , z = x + iy, s = p + iq, f = u + iv, to compare to  $f = (u, v) : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ , we find that the **2x2 matrix** A **must have a special form**, namely

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & -b \\ b & a \end{array}\right),$$

simply because

$$\alpha(z-s) \in \mathbb{C}$$

rewrites as

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} a & -b \\ b & a \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} x-p \\ y-q \end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Combining the main theorem above for  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ , with the special form of A, a sufficient condition for complex differentiability of f = u + iv in s = p + iq is the continuity of all four partial derivatives in (p,q), plus the Cauchy-Riemann equations in s = p + iq which characterise the special form of A, i.e.

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(p,q) = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(p,q), \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(p,q) = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(p,q).$$

**Exercise, or see the book.** Verify directly that complex differentiability implies the Cauchy Riemann equations.

**Remark.**  $f = u + iv : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  differentiable in s = p + iq is equivalent to  $f = (u, v) : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  differentiable in (p, q) combined with the Cauchy-Riemann equations in (p, q).

**N.B.**  $f = (u, v) : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  differentiable in (p, q) is often best verifiable using the main theorem above.

## Exercises

1. Verify, both by means of the limit definition, as well as by using the Cauchy-Riemann equations, that  $f(z) = z^2$  is differentiable in every  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . Determine f'(z).

2. Verify, both by means of the limit definition, as well as by using the Cauchy-Riemann equations, that  $f(z) = \frac{1}{z}$  is differentiable in every  $0 \neq z \in \mathbb{C}$ . Determine f'(z).

2. Verify, using the Cauchy-Riemann equations, that  $f(z) = \exp(z)$  is differentiable in every  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . Determine f'(z).